



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/264,547 03/08/99 JONES

T 09943/006001

EXAMINER

QM12/0209

HANS R TROESCH  
FISH & RICHARDSON  
2200 SAND HILL ROAD  
SUITE 100  
MENLO PARK CA 94025

WILSON, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3732

DATE MAILED:

02/09/01

13

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/264,547

Applicant(s)

Jones et al.

Examiner

John J. Wilson

Group Art Unit

3732



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John J. Wilson

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Richard A. Dunning, Jr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 8, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Wu et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

It was pointed out that the claims, see 15, do limit to a process without human intervention, that is, automated. Various suggestions for overcoming the 35 USC 101 rejection were discussed. An amendment will be filed for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

John J. Wilson  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.